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Abstract
Detection of visual anomalies refers to the problem of finding patterns in different imaging data that do not conform to the expected visual appearance, and is a widely studied problem in different domains. Due to the nature of anomaly occurrences and underlying generating processes, it is hard to characterize them and obtain labelled data. Obtaining labelled data is especially difficult in biomedical applications, where only trained domain experts can provide labels, which are often diverse and complex to a large degree. The recently presented approaches for unsupervised detection of visual anomalies omit the need for labelled data and demonstrate promising results in domains where anomalous samples significantly deviate from the normal appearance. Despite promising results, the performance of such approaches still lags behind supervised approaches and does not provide a universal solution. In this work, we present an image-to-image translation-based framework that significantly surpasses the performance of existing unsupervised methods and approaches the performance of supervised methods in a challenging domain of cancerous region detection in histology imagery.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Anomaly detection represents an important process of determining instances that stand out from the rest of the data. Detecting such occurrences in different data modalities has wide applications in different domains such as fraud detection, cyber-intrusion, industrial inspection, and medical imaging [Chandola et al., 2009]. Detecting anomalies in high-dimensional data (e.g. images) is a particularly challenging problem that has recently seen a significant rise of interest, due to the prevalence of deep-learning-based methods.
The success of current deep-learning-based methods has mostly relied on the abundance of available data. Anomalies generally occur rarely, in different shapes and forms, and are thus extremely hard or even impossible to label.

Supervised deep-learning-based anomaly detection approaches have seen great success in different industrial and medical application domains [Ehteshami Bejnordi et al., 2017, Tabernik et al., 2019]. The success of such methods is the most evident in the domains with well-known characterization (and possibly a finite set) of the anomalies and abundance of labeled data. Specific to the detection of visual anomalies, we usually also want to localize the actual anomalous region in the image. Obtaining such detailed labels to learn supervised models is a costly process and in many cases also impossible. There is an abundance of data available in the biomedical domain, but it is usually of much higher complexity and diversity. Domain complexity prevents large-scale crowd annotation efforts and only trained biomedical experts can usually annotate such data.

Weakly supervised approaches address such problems by requiring only image-level labels (e.g. disease present or not) and are able to detect and delineate anomalous regions solely from such weakly labeled data, without the need for detailed pixel or patch-level labels [Campanella et al., 2019]. On the contrary, few-shot approaches reduce the number of required labeled samples to the least possible amount [Tian et al., 2020].

In an unsupervised setting, only normal appearance samples are available (e.g. healthy, defect-free), which are usually available in larger quantities and are easier to obtain. Deep generative methods, in a form of autoencoders (AE) or generative adversarial networks (GAN), have been recently applied to the problem of unsupervised detection of visual anomalies and have shown promising results in different industrial and medical application domains [Schlegl et al., 2019, Baur et al., 2020b, Baur et al., 2020a, Bergmann et al., 2020]. Current approaches require normal appearance samples for training, in order to detect and segment deviations from that normal appearance, without the need for labeled data. They usually model normal appearance with low-resolution AE or GAN models and the overall performance still lags significantly behind supervised approaches.

In this work, we present a novel high-resolution image-to-image translation-based method for unsupervised detection of visual anomalies that significantly surpasses the performance of existing unsupervised approaches and closes the gap towards the supervised counterparts. We particularly focus on a challenging problem of cancerous region detection from gigapixel histology imagery, which has been already addressed in a supervised [Ehteshami Bejnordi et al., 2017], as well as in a weakly supervised setting [Campanella et al., 2019]. Extremely large histology imagery (patch-based processing) and the highly variable appearance of the different tissue regions represent a unique challenge for existing unsupervised approaches.

2 IMAGE-TO-IMAGE TRANSLATION AS A PRETEXT FOR ANOMALY DETECTION

Inspired by the multimodal image-to-image translation methods [Huang et al., 2018, Lee et al., 2020], we propose an example guided image translation method (Figure 1) [Stepec and Skocaj, 2021], which in comparison with SteGANomaly [Baur et al., 2020a] enables anomaly detection without cycle-reconstruction during the inference, specially crafted intermediate domain distribution, and Gaussian filtering. Similar to MUNIT [Huang et al., 2018], we assume that the latent space of images can be decomposed into content and style spaces. We also assume that images in both domains share a common content space \( C \), as well as style space \( S \) (i.e. they both come from the same healthy domain). This differs from MUNIT [Huang et al., 2018], where style space is not shared, due to semantically different domains \( X \) and \( Y \). Similar to MUNIT [Huang et al., 2018], our translation model consists out of encoder \( E_q \) and decoder \( G_j \) networks for each space \( j \in \{C, S\} \) and domains \( i \in \{X, Y\} \). Those subnetworks are used for autoencoding, as well as cross-domain translation, by interchanging encoders and decoders from different domains. Style latent codes \( s_x \) and \( s_y \) are randomly drawn and additionally transformed by a multilayer perceptron (MLP) network \( f \) for a cross-domain translation. Randomness addresses the memorization effect, largely present in autoencoder-based anomaly detection approaches.

During anomaly detection (Figure 1), an input image \( x \) is encoded with \( E_{c,x} \) to produce content vector \( c_x \), which is then joined with the style code \( s_x \)
extracted from the original image $x$, with the style encoder $E_y$ of the target domain $Y$. This presents an input to decoder $G_y$, which generates $y$. This is basically an example guided image translation, used also in MUNIT [Huang et al., 2018] and DRIT++ [Lee et al., 2020] methods. Content-style space decomposition is especially well suited for histopathological analysis due to different staining procedures, which causes the samples to significantly deviate in their visual appearance. Style-guided translation ensures that the closest looking normal appearance is found, taking into account also the staining appearance. We then measure an anomaly score using distance metric $d$ (e.g. perceptual LPIPS distance [Zhang et al., 2018] or Structure Similarity Index (SSIM) [Wang et al., 2004]), between the original image $x$ and its reconstruction*.

3 EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

3.1 Histology Imagery Dataset.

We address the aforementioned problems of anomaly detection pipeline on a challenging domain of digital pathology, where whole-slide histology images (WSI) are used for diagnostic assessment of the spread of cancer. This particular problem was already addressed in a supervised setting [Ehteshami Bejnordi et al., 2017], as a competition2, with provided clinical histology imagery and ground truth data. A training dataset with (n=110) and without (n=160) labeled cancerous regions (used as anomalies) is provided, as well as a test set of 129 images (49 with and 80 without labeled cancerous regions). Raw histology imagery, presented in Figure 2a, is first preprocessed, in order to extract the tissue region (Figure 2b). We used the approach from IBM3, which utilizes a combination of morphological and color space filtering operations. Patches of 512 x 512 are then extracted from the filtered image and filtered according to the tissue (Figure 2c) and cancer (Figure 2d) coverage. We only use patches with tissue and cancerous region coverage over 90% (i.e. green patches). With this procedure, we produce a dataset of healthy (i.e. no overlap with cancerous label) and cancerous patches (i.e. > 90% overlap with cancerous label).

We train the models on random 80,000 healthy tissue patches extracted from a training set of healthy and cancerous (coverage=0% - cancerous samples also contain healthy tissue) WSIs (n=270). The baseline supervised approach is trained on randomly extracted healthy (n=25,000) and cancerous patches (n=25,000). The methods (i.e. supervised baseline and proposed ones) are evaluated on healthy (n=7673) and cancerous (n=16,538) patches extracted from a cancerous test set of WSIs (n=49). We mix healthy training patches of both cohorts (i.e. healthy patches

---

2 https://camelyon16.grand-challenge.org/
3 https://github.com/CODATI/deep-histopath
from cancerous WSIs) in order to demonstrate the robustness of the proposed approach against a small percentage of possibly contaminated healthy appearance data (e.g. non-labeled isolated tumor cells in cancerous samples).

3.2 Unsupervised Anomaly Detection.

We compare the proposed method against GAN-based f-AnoGAN [Schlegl et al., 2019] and StyleGAN2 [Kar- ras et al., 2020] methods. Both methods separately model normal appearance and perform latent space mapping for anomaly detection. The f-AnoGAN method models normal appearance using Wasserstein GANs (WGAN) [Arjovsky et al., 2017], which is limited to a resolution of 64² and uses an encoder-based fast latent space mapping approach. The StyleGAN2 method enables high-resolution image synthesis (up to 1024²) and also implements an iterative optimization procedure, based on Learned Perceptual Image Patch Similarity (LPIPS) [Zhang et al., 2018] distance metric. We evaluate the performance of the proposed and StyleGAN2 methods on patches of 512², while center-cropped 64² patches are used for the f-AnoGAN method. Additionally, we compare the performance against the supervised DenseNet-121 [Huang et al., 2017] baseline model, trained and evaluated on 512² patches. We evaluate the proposed method using Structural Similarity Index Measure (SSIM) [Wang et al., 2004] and LPIPS reconstruction error metrics as an anomaly score. We use the same metrics (i.e. SSIM and LPIPS) as also as an alternative to the original f-AnoGAN anomaly score implementation, as well as to measure StyleGAN2 reconstruction errors.

We first evaluate the methods by inspecting the distribution of anomaly scores across healthy and cancerous patches, as presented in Figure 3. We compare our proposed approach (Figures 3a and 3b) against f-AnoGAN (Figure 3c) and StyleGAN2 (Figure 3d) methods and report significantly better distribution disentanglement between healthy and cancerous patches.

![Figure 2: Preprocessing of the original WSI presented in a) consists of b) filtering tissue sections and c) extracting tissue patches, based on the tissue (green ≥ 90 %, orange ≤ 10 % and yellow in-between) and d) cancerous region coverage (green ≥ 90 %, orange ≤ 30 % and yellow in-between). Best viewed in a digital version with zoom.](image1)

![Figure 3: Distribution of anomaly scores on healthy and cancerous histology imagery patches (a) for the proposed method (SSIM metric), (b) proposed method (LPIPS metric), (c) f-AnoGAN (original metric) and (d) StyleGAN2 (LPIPS metric). Results for the proposed and StyleGAN2 methods are reported for 512² patches, while 64² patches are used for f-AnoGAN.](image2)
The area under the ROC curve (AUC) and Average Precision (AP) scores are reported in Table 1 for all the methods and different anomaly scores. We also report F1 and classification accuracy measures, calculated at the Youden index of the ROC curve. We notice that the performance of the proposed method approaches the performance of the supervised baseline in terms of both reconstruction error metrics (i.e. LPIPS and SSIM). The performance of the f-AnoGAN significantly improves using SSIM and LPIPS metrics, in comparison with the originally proposed anomaly score. This shows the importance of the selection of the appropriate reconstruction error metric. The StyleGAN2 method shows good distribution disentanglement using the LPIPS distance metric, while the SSIM metric fails to capture any significant differences between the two different classes (i.e. healthy and anomalous). The proposed method demonstrates consistent performance across both anomaly score metrics, as well as different evaluation measures.

Table 1: Performance statistics (F1, Classification Accuracy - CA) calculated at Youden index of Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve and the corresponding area under the ROC curve (AUC) and Average Precision (AP) scores summarizing ROC and Precision-Recall (PR) curves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>CA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supervised</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed (SSIM)</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>0.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed (LPIPS)</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>0.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StyleGAN2 (LPIPS)</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>0.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StyleGAN2 (SSIM)</td>
<td>0.580</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>0.674</td>
<td>0.588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f-AnoGAN (original)</td>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f-AnoGAN (SSIM)</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>0.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f-AnoGAN (LPIPS)</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>0.902</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 CONCLUSION

In this work, we presented an image-to-image translation-based unsupervised approach that significantly surpasses the performance of existing GAN-based unsupervised approaches for the detection of visual anomalies in histology imagery and also approaches the performance of supervised methods. The method is capable of closely reconstructing presented healthy histology tissue samples, while unable to reconstruct cancerous ones and is thus able to detect such samples with an appropriate visual distance measure. The image-to-image translation-based framework offers a promising multi-task platform for a wide range of problems in the medical domain and can be now further extended with the capabilities for anomaly detection and applied to the completely new set of domains where labeled data is hard to obtain. Additional research is needed to investigate effectiveness in other biomedical modalities, as well as to exploit the benefits of using such a framework in a multi-task learning setting.
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